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1 Introduction

The rhythm class hypothesis is an attempt to classify the human impression of language rhythm based on the concept of isochrony, which posits that language rhythm underlies the regulation of a particular unit re-occurring at regular intervals [1]. The present study provides an experimental evaluation of rhythm metrics between read and spontaneous speech in Japanese and English.

Researchers, such as Ramus et al. [2] and Grabe & Low [3], have proposed rhythm metrics by adapting Dauer’s [4] notion that phonological and phonetic factors modulating structures of syllables contribute to the perception of different language rhythms. Two types of rhythm metrics have been proposed, ‘global’ and ‘local’ metrics. Global metrics reveal the overall durational variability of segments in utterances, e.g., %V—the relative proportion of vowels [2], and VarcoV—the mean difference of vocalic intervals divided by the mean of vocalic intervals and multiplied by 100 [5]. Local metrics, on the other hand, capture durational differences of consecutive vocalic and consonant intervals, e.g., nPVI,V—the mean difference of successive vocalic intervals divided by their sum and multiplied by 100 [5].

Researchers, however, have identified inconsistencies in the classification of language rhythms across studies [3]. One possible cause is the type of speech material used (e.g., speech is spontaneously produced or carefully read). Though a few studies have compared read sentences to spontaneous speech using these metrics [7], Japanese has not yet been investigated in this respect. We predict that these metrics will differentiate read and spontaneous speech, with spontaneous speech falling on the faster side of each metric by showing a smaller proportion of vowels (lower %V) and a higher degree of variability in the duration of vocalic intervals (higher VarcoV) than read sentences. Also, spontaneous speech will allow for better discrimination of language groups by showing that L2 English falls between L1 English and L1 Japanese.

2 Method

Spontaneous speech was collected from two existing data sets, The Wildcat Corpus [8] and unpublished data from Warner [9]. Forty-eight utterances were retrieved from three native speakers of Japanese (one male; three utterances × three speakers = nine utterances), English (three males; three utterances × three speakers = nine utterances), and six English-speaking Japanese subjects (one male; five utterances × six speakers = 30 utterances). All were manually labeled using Praat [10], and segmented following Arvaniti [7]. Praat was then used to calculate %V, VarcoV, rPVI,C, and speech rate.

We compared the present research to Grenon & White’s [11] data (read speech) for L1 and L2 rhythm in Canadian English and Japanese. The resulting data were modeled, with linear mixed-effects regression using the lme4 package [12] in R to investigate the relationship between the metrics and the language groups across the speech types. The metrics and speech rate were entered as separate dependent variables, and the language groups and speech types were treated as fixed effects, with subjects (speakers) as random effects.

3 Results

Table 1 shows the means and standard errors of the metric scores and speech rates in the L1 English, L1 Japanese, and L2 English for the current study. Figure 1 illustrates the comparison of %V and VarcoV between the current study (spontaneous speech) and Grenon & White (read speech) [11]. A comparison of the present study to the Grenon & White’s [11] data shows that the two data sets are significantly different for all the metrics except rPVI,C (%V (t=−2.69), VarcoV (t=2.27), Speech Rate (t=−5.04)). Analysis of %V for the spontaneous speech data indicates that the vowel for L1 English takes a
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significantly smaller portion of the utterances than L1 Japanese ($t=-2.66$). A similar result is found for rPVI_C ($t=2.924$) which indicates that there is a higher degree of variability in the successive consonant intervals for L1 English as compared to L1 Japanese. Additionally, we find that L2 English significantly differs from L1 Japanese for rPVI_C ($t=2.356$). Analysis of VarcoV and Speech Rate do not show any significant differences between language groups (though some comparisons are trending toward significance).

4 Discussion

The variability and lack of some significant effects found in the present study may be due to the limited data size (we have only 48 utterances) rather than speech type differences. However, statistical analysis reveals that there are some significant differences between the metrics of the two speech types. As predicted, there are significant differences between spontaneous speech and read speech. Further, we find that spontaneous speech better discriminates the language groups by showing L2 English between L1 English and L1 Japanese, as predicted. It is possible that the read speech causes L2 English speakers to overcompensate English rhythm, causing the L2 English not to fall between the two L1s. The difference may also be due to the differing L2 fluency levels. We predicted that spontaneous speech would fall on the faster side of each metric. However, the spontaneous data is produced at a slower speech rate than the read sentences, and it is produced with a smaller proportion of vowels and a higher degree of variability in the duration of vocalic intervals. This is likely because subjects in Grenon& White [11] practiced reading their sentences before recording, and the familiarity with the sentences encouraged them to read at a high rate, but maintained the proportion of vowels and variability in the duration of vocalic intervals. It is noteworthy that L2 English significantly differs from L1 Japanese for rPVI_C, suggesting that spontaneous L2 English is similar to L1 English. In other words, their L2 speech is rhythmically less accented in terms of rPVI_C.

5 Conclusions

This study provides an experimental evaluation of rhythm metrics between read and spontaneous speech in Japanese and English. Statistical analysis indicates that these metrics are sufficient for distinguishing between the two speech types. Additionally, our findings indicate that L2 speakers do fall between L1s in spontaneous speech. Further examination is required with a larger sample of data to further investigate the details of linguistic isochrony and its relationship to impressionistic observations of language rhythm.
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